



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/765,955	01/29/2004	Yukio Michishita	00USFP606M.K. DIV	2335
21254	7590	06/29/2005	EXAMINER	
MCGINN & GIBB, PLLC 8321 OLD COURTHOUSE ROAD SUITE 200 VIENNA, VA 22182-3817				TRAN, DZUNG D
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2638				

DATE MAILED: 06/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/765,955	MICHISHITA, YUKIO	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Dzung D Tran	2633	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(n). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 April 2005.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 9-16 and 20-32 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 9-11, 14-16 and 20-32 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) 12 and 13 is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

2. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Prior Art figures 1 and 2.

Regarding claim 20, Prior Art figures 1 and 2 discloses an optical communication system comprising:

a light transmitter station 11;

a first light receiver station 12 in communication with said light transmitter station 11 via an optical light comprising a plurality of optical fibers (see Prior Art figure 1);

a light branching apparatus 13 formed on said optical line between said light transmitter station 11 and light receiver station 12; and

a second light receiver station 14 in communication with said light transmitter station 11 via an optical light comprising a plurality of optical fibers (see Prior Art figure 1);

Wherein a path between said light transmitter station 11 and said first light receiver station 12 comprise a main transmission path and a path between said light transmitter station 11 and said second light receiver station 14 comprise a sub transmission path and wherein said light branching apparatus comprises a equalization interval A to B (equivalent to a first wavelength dispersion compensation) for said main transmission path and a equalization interval A to C (equivalent to a second wavelength dispersion compensation) for said sub transmission path.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 9-11, 14-16, and 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Prior Art figures 1 and 2 in view of Huber et al. US patent no. 5,331,449.

Regarding claims 9, 10 and 21, Prior Art figure 1 discloses an optical communication system comprising:

a first optical fiber connected to a first station 11;

a second optical fiber connected to a second station 12;

a third optical fiber connected to a third station 14; and
a light branching apparatus 13, which comprises: an optical splitter which splits an optical signal for a plurality of channels on said first optical fiber from said first station into at least a first optical channel signal on a first channel of said second optical fiber and a plurality of second optical channel signals on a plurality of second channels of said third optical fiber (Prior Art figure 2).

Prior Art figures 1 and 2 differs from claim 9 of the present invention in that it does not disclose a first wavelength dispersion compensator which is provided for said first channel and compensates wavelength dispersion of said first optical channel signal due to said optical splitter.

Huber discloses an optical system having optical splitter 98 which splits an optical signal for a plurality of channels on said first optical fiber from said first station into at least a first optical channel signal on a first channel of said second optical fiber and a plurality of second optical channel signals on a plurality of second channels of said third optical fiber and a fiber optical amplifier 100 at each of the output of the splitter 98 is designed to compensate for the accumulated loss resulting from the splitter 98 (col. 7, lines 26-28).

At the time of the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to replace optical splitter in the branching apparatus 13 with the optical splitter 98 having the fiber optical amplifier 100 for compensate the wavelength dispersion due to the optical splitter taught by Huber in the apparatus of Prior Art

figures 1 and 2. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this in order to reduce the noise and signal interference due to optical splitter.

Regarding claim 11, Prior Art figures 1 and 2 further discloses equalizing fiber 19 is inserted on the second fiber for compensating wavelength dispersion of said first optical channel signal due to said second optical fiber (see figure 1).

Regarding claims 14-16, Prior Art figures 1 and 2 further discloses an amplifier (equivalent to another wavelength dispersion compensator) is inserted on the second fiber for compensating wavelength dispersion of said first optical channel signal due to said second optical fiber (see figure 1).

Regarding claims 22 and 23, Prior Art, figures 1 and 2 further discloses a plurality of optical amplifier formed on said transmission line between light transmitter station 11 and said light branching apparatus 13 (see figure 1).

5. Claims 24-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Prior Art figures 1 and 2 in view of Huber et al. US patent no. 5,331,449 and further in view of Okuno et al. US publication no. 2001/0000442.

Regarding claim 24, the combination of Prior Art figures 1 and 2 and Huber does not disclose a plurality of dispersion shift fibers formed in said optical transmission line and a plurality of dispersion compensation fibers having a characteristic opposite to a characteristic of said dispersion shift fibers and formed between adjacent optical repeaters. Okuno discloses in figure 11, an WDM communication system having a plurality of dispersion shift fibers 22 formed in said optical transmission line and a

plurality of dispersion compensation fibers 23 having a characteristic opposite to a characteristic of said dispersion shift fibers and formed between adjacent optical repeaters 20.

At the time of the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to implement the DSF and DCF fibers taught by Okuno in the apparatus of Prior Art figures 1 and 2. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this in order to compensate the dispersion due to the optical fiber.

Regarding claims 25-27, Prior Art figures 1 discloses a plurality dispersion compensations in a first wavelength dispersion compensation and a second wavelength dispersion compensation (e.g., plurality of amplifiers and plurality of equalizing fiber 19, see Prior Art figure 1).

Regarding claims 28-30, Prior Art figures 1 discloses the amplifiers and equalizing fiber 18, 19, 21 and 22 compensate dispersion due to the transmission path length (page 2, paragraph 0012).

Regarding claims 31 and 32, Prior Art figures 2 discloses branching unit 13 comprise a plurality of optical splitter/combiner 13B and optical switch 13A which switches a transmission path between a first transmission path and a second transmission path (page 1, paragraph 0008).

6. Claims 12 and 13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-32 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dzung D Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-3025. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 AM - 7:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason Chan can be reached on (571) 272-3022. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/765,955
Art Unit: 2633

Page 8

DT
06/25/2005

Trung Tran